UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
X	
TEOFILO COLON,	ECF

Plaintiff.

Docket #08 CV 03142 (HB)

- against -

Honorable Harold Baer, Jr.

CITY OF NEW YORK, **DEPARTMENT** OF CORRECTION COMMISSIONER MARTIN F. HORN, PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC., MICHAEL CATALANO, C.E.O. OF PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, DOHMH MEDICAL INC.. DIRECTOR **TREVOR** PARKS, DOHMH PROGRAM DIRECTOR REBECCA PINNEY, DOHMH DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LOUISE COHEN, DR. PRAVIN RANJAN, DR. JEAN RICHARD. **BELLEVUE** HOSPITAL **CENTER**, DR. DIAH DOUGLAS, DR. SHEEL SHARMA, DR. STEVEN K. LEE, "JOHN DOE" MEDICAL PROVIDERS PRISON **HEALTH** SERVICES, "JOHN DOE" **MEDICAL** PROVIDERS BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER.

ANSWER TO
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT
AND JURY DEMAND

	Defendants.	
		<
COUNCELL OPS:		

Defendants, NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION s/h/a BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER, by their attorneys, SCHIAVETTI, CORGAN, DiEDWARDS & NICHOLSON, LLP, answers the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand, upon information and belief as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "1" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand except begs leave to refer all issues of law to this Honorable Court and all questions of fact to a trial therein.

2. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "2" and "3" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This defendant denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs "4" and "6" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as to this answering defendant, and begs leave to refer all issues of law to this Honorable Court and all questions of fact to a trial therein.
- 4. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "5" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.

PARTIES

- 5. Denies any knowledge or information thereof sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in paragraphs designated "7", "8", "9", "10", "11", "12", "13", "14", "15", "20" and "22" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.
- 6. This defendant denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs "17", "18", "19" and "21" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant, and begs leave to refer all issues of law to this Honorable Court and all questions of fact to a trial therein.
- 7. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "23" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.
- 8. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "16" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand in the form alleged except admits NYCHHC s/h/a BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER is a municipal corporation existing pursuant to the laws

of the State of New York and begs leave to refer all issues of law to this Honorable Court and all questions of fact to a trial therein.

NOTICE OF CLAIM

9. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "24" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

10. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph "25" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant, and begs leave to refer all issues of law to this Honorable Court and all questions of fact to a trial therein.

JOINT LIABILITY

Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "26" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

Denies any knowledge or information thereof sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in paragraphs designated "27", "28" and "29" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Denies any knowledge or information thereof sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in paragraphs designated "30" and "32" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.

- 14. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs "31" and "34" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant, and begs leave to refer all issues of law to this Honorable Court and all questions of fact to a trial therein.
- Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "33", "35", "36", "37", "38" and "39" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

DAMAGES

16. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "40" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 17. Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "40" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "41" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.
- 18. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "42" and "43" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

19. Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "43" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand

with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "44" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.

Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "45", "46", "47", "48", "49", "50" and "51" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 21. Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "51" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "52" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.
- 22. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "53" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand except begs leave to refer all issues of law to this Honorable Court and all questions of fact to a trial therein.
- 23. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "54" and "55" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

24. Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "55" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "56" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.

25. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "57" and "58" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 26. Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "58" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "59" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.
- 27. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraph designated "60" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand except begs leave to refer all issues of law to this Honorable Court and all questions of fact to a trial therein.
- Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "61", "62" and "63" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 29. Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "63" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "64" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.
- 30. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "65", "66", "67" and "68" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 31. Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "68" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "69" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.
- 32. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "70", "71", "72" and "73" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 33. Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "73" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "74" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.
- 34. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "75" and "76" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION*

Repeats and reiterates each and every admission and denial to the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "76" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand with the same force and effect as if herein set forth at length in answer to the allegations set forth in paragraph "77" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand.

7

Plaintiff has failed to include a Ninth Cause of Action in the First Amended Complaint and Jury Demand.

36. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "78" and "79" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

37. Denies each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs designated "80" and "81" of the plaintiff's first amended complaint and jury demand as it may relate to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR A FIRST, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

38. That this Court lacks jurisdiction over the person of the defendant.

AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

39. That the alleged cause(s) of action of the plaintiff, as stated in the complaint, is (are) time-barred in that this action was not commenced within the period of the applicable Statute of Limitations.

AS AND FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

40. That any injuries sustained or suffered by the plaintiff, as stated in the complaint herein, were caused in whole or in part by the comparative negligence, fault and/or want of care of

the plaintiff and the amount of damages awarded herein, if any, should be denied or diminished in proportion to the amount of said culpable conduct and negligence of plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

41. That the alleged causes of action in the complaint should be dismissed for the plaintiff's failure to comply with CPLR §3012- a.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

42. That the plaintiffs have failed to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

43. The answering defendant(s), reserve the right to claim the limitations of liability pursuant to Article 16 of the CPLR, for any recovery herein by the plaintiff for non-economic loss.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

44. In the event of the release and payment of any other defendant, that the amount of any verdict against answering defendant shall be reduced pursuant to General Obligations Law Section 15-108(a).

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

45. In the event of the release and payment of any other defendant, that the amount of any verdict against answering defendant shall be reduced pursuant to General Obligations Law Section 15-108.

AS AND FOR A NINTH, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

46. Plaintiff has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA).

AS AND FOR A TENTH, SEPARATE AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO EACH AND EVERY CAUSE OR CAUSES OF ACTION IN THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT ALLEGES:

47. The plaintiff's allegations of medical indifference do not rise to the level of deliberate indifference to serious medical needs.

WHEREFORE, the defendants demand judgment dismissing the complaint of the plaintiff herein together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New York, New York August 29, 2008

Yours, etc.

SCHIAVETTI, CORGAN, DIEDWARDS & NICHOLSON, LLP

By: <u>(Julia VI kelaleda)</u> ANGELA M. RIBAUDO (AR-8421)

Attorneys for Defendant

NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND

HOSPITALS CORPORATION

s/h/a BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER

Office and P.O. Address 575 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10018

(212) 541-9100

TO: STOLL, GLICKMAN & BELLINA Attorneys for Plaintiff 71 Nevins Street Brooklyn, New York 11217 718-852-3710 HEIDELL, PITTONI, MURPHY, & BACH, LLP Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF NEW YORK, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION COMMISSIONER MARTIN F. HORN, PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC., MICHAEL CATALANO, C.E.O. OF PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC., DOHMH MEDICAL DIRECTOR TREVOR PARKS, DOHMH PROGRAM DIRECTOR REBECCA PINNEY, DOHMH DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LOUISE COHEN, DR. PRAVIN RANJAN, DR. JEAN RICHARD 99 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016 (212) 286-8585

UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, DR. DIAH DOUGLAS, DR. SHEEL SHARMA, DR. STEVEN K. LEE, "JOHN DOE" MEDICAL PROVIDERS PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, "JOHN DOE" MEDICAL PROVIDERS BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER, HAVE NOT YET APPEARED.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I served the foregoing Answer on behalf of NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION s/h/a BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER as follows:

On September 2, 2008, I served a copy of the above-mentioned document upon:

STOLL, GLICKMAN & BELLINA Attorneys for Plaintiff 71 Nevins Street Brooklyn, New York 11217

HEIDELL, PITTONI, MURPHY, & BACH, LLP Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF NEW YORK, DEPARTMENT OF CORRETION COMMISSIONER MARTIN F. HORN, PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC., MICHAEL CATALANO, C.E.O. OF PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC., DOHMH MEDICAL DIRECTOR TREVOR PARKS, DOHMH PROGRAM DIRECTOR REBECCA PINNEY, DOHMH DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LOUISE COHEN, DR. PRAVIN RANJAN, DR. JEAN RICHARD 99 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016 (212) 286-8585

electronically via the Electronic Court Filing System (ECF) and by placing it in an envelope addressed to the above, and depositing it in a U.S. Post Office box via First Class Mail on September 2, 2008.

I'm aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Dated: New York, New York September 2, 2008

> /s/Angela M. Ribaudo ANGELA M. RIBAUDO

UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, DR. DIAH DOUGLAS, DR. SHEEL SHARMA, DR. STEVEN K. LEE, "JOHN DOE" MEDICAL PROVIDERS PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, "JOHN DOE" MEDICAL PROVIDERS BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER, HAVE NOT YET APPEARED.

Case 1:08-cv-03142-HB D	Document 43	Filed 09/02/2008	Page 14 of 15
-------------------------	-------------	------------------	---------------

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
X	
TEOFILO COLON,	

Plaintiff,

Docket #08 CV 03142 (HB)

ECF

BY JURY

- against -

Honorable Harold Baer, Jr.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL

CITY OF NEW YORK, **DEPARTMENT** OF CORRECTION COMMISSIONER MARTIN F. HORN, PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC., MICHAEL CATALANO, C.E.O. OF PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, DOHMH MEDICAL DIRECTOR PARKS, DOHMH PROGRAM DIRECTOR REBECCA PINNEY, DOHMH DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LOUISE COHEN, DR. PRAVIN RANJAN, DR. JEAN RICHARD, BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER. DR. DOUGLAS, DR. SHEEL SHARMA, DR. STEVEN K. LEE, "JOHN DOE" MEDICAL PROVIDERS PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, "JOHN DOE" **MEDICAL** PROVIDERS BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER,

	Defendants.		
		X	
COUNSELLORS:			

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rules 38(b) and 81(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION s/h/a BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER, by their attorneys, SCHIAVETTI, CORGAN, DiEDWARDS & NICHOLSON, LLP, hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues in the above-captioned action.

New York, New York Dated:

September 2, 2008

Yours, etc.

SCHIAVETTI, CORGAN. DiEDWARDS & NICHOLSON, LLP

By: angelo m Relando

ANGELA M. RIBAUDO (AR-8421)

Attorneys for Defendant

NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION

s/h/a BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER

Office and P.O. Address 575 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10018

(212) 541-9100

TO: STOLL, GLICKMAN & BELLINA

> Attorneys for Plaintiff 71 Nevins Street Brooklyn, New York 11217 718-852-3710

HEIDELL, PITTONI, MURPHY, & BACH, LLP Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF NEW YORK, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION COMMISSIONER

MARTIN F. HORN, PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC., MICHAEL CATALANO,

C.E.O. OF PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC.,

DOHMH MEDICAL DIRECTOR

TREVOR PARKS, DOHMH PROGRAM

DIRECTOR REBECCA PINNEY.

DOHMH DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

LOUISE COHEN, DR. PRAVIN RANJAN,

DR. JEAN RICHARD

99 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10016

(212) 286-8585

UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, DR. DIAH DOUGLAS, DR. SHEEL SHARMA, DR. STEVEN K. LEE, "JOHN DOE" MEDICAL PROVIDERS PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, "JOHN DOE" MEDICAL PROVIDERS BELLEVUE HOSPITAL CENTER, HAVE NOT YET APPEARED.